Application Performance Management for Cloud

CMG



By Priyanka Arora rarora803@gmail.com

Cloud Adoption Trends

2

Public cloud Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) hardware and software spending from 2015 to 2026, by segment (in billion U.S. dollars)



SaaS and PaaS portion of public cloud hardware and infrastructure software Public cloud laaS hardware and infrastructure software

© Statista 2016

- Spending on public cloud Infrastructure as a Service hardware and software is forecast to reach \$173B in 2026
- SaaS and PaaS portion of cloud hardware and infrastructure software spending are projected to reach \$55B in 2026

Agenda

- Understanding Cloud
 - What is Cloud Computing
 - Cloud Deployment Models
 - Public vs Private, Hybrid?
 - Cloud Service Models
 - IaaS/PaaS/SaaS
- Problem Statement
 - Obscurity of Cloud
- Solution
 - Understanding APM
 - Considerations for Cloud Applications
- Making the right APM choice
 - APM for XaaS
 - Synthetic Vs Real User Monitoring
 - Magic Quadrant and Market Solutions
 - Evaluating APM Solutions
- Examples

Understanding Cloud

4

What is cloud computing?



Traditional IT

- Cost of Ownership and Maintenance
- Cost of Operation
- Total Control



Cloud Computing

- Flexible availability
- Cost effective, Pay per use
- Unlimited Scalability
- Fit for Purpose

Cloud Deployment Models

Private Cloud

- Privately shared virtualized resources
- Systems and Services operated and delivered exclusively for an organization

Public cloud

- Enterprise taps into a public set of resources delivering standardized, highly automated offerings
- Compute resources, storage and networking capabilities, are owned by a service provider and offered on demand with limited SLAs regarding tenancy, isolation, and performance.
- Shifts CapEx to OpEx Pay as you go

Hybrid cloud

- Implementation of "Hybrid" includes a mix of internal (on-premises, often virtualized) and external (cloud-hosted) solutions, with applications switching between resources as needed
- Allows manipulation of CapEx and OpEx

Cloud Service Models



8 Problem Statement

Obscurity of Cloud

Cloud Challenges

- Security and Privacy
 - Compliance
- Obscurity
 - Lack of Visibility
 - Loss of Control



- Availability, Reliability, Service Quality
 Performance Unpredictability
- Lack of Skills, Expertise
- Integration with Existing Infrastructure

Traditional Management Paradigm

10

- Traditional data center management and monitoring protocols focus on technology elements in silos
- Lack of visibility and control puts organization at the mercy of cloud vendor
 - Vendor's commitment and capability to meet SLAs





Application Performance Measurement/Monitoring/Management?



Application Performance Measurement/Monitoring/Management?



APM & Cloud: Why is it Important?

- Ensuring excellent end-user experience
- 'Right Sizing', validation and forecasting future growth, smart scaling
- Mitigating Risk of Unpredictable Performance
- Regaining Visibility and Control
- SLA Verification

15 CAPM – Making the right choice

APM for XaaS



Synthetic Vs RUM

- Synthetic
 - Active Monitoring, special focus on Availability
 - Based on 'Scripted' User Interactions
 - Gauges possible user experience
 - Executed from any location across the globe
 - Is Agentless
- □ RUM
 - Based on 'Real' User Interactions
 - A more definitive indicator of User Experience
 - Also provides a global perspective
 - Can be Agentless(JavaScript, Network tap) or Agent based

Some things to consider..

- Focus on
 - Business Transactions
 - Tiers
 - Baselines
 - End User Experience
- Unified View across Hybrid Environments
- APM Approach
 - Agent Vs Agentless
 - Synthetic Vs Real User Monitoring (RUM)

Development and Optimization considerations

Gartner's Magic Quadrant for APM Suites



Dimensions of APM Suites

End-user experience monitoring (EUEM)

- The capture of data about how end-to end latency, execution correctness and quality appear to the real user of the application
- A secondary focus on application availability may be accomplished by synthetic transactions emulating the end user

Application topology discovery and visualization

 The discovery of the software and hardware infrastructure components involved in application execution, and the array of possible paths across which these components communicate to deliver the application

User-defined transaction profiling

 The tracing of user-grouped events, which comprise a transaction as they occur within the application as they interact with components discovered in the second dimension; this is generated in response to a user's request to the application.

Application component deep dive

- The fine-grained monitoring of resources consumed and events occurring within the components discovered in the application topology discovery and visualization dimension
- This includes the server-side components of software being executed.

IT operations analytics (ITOA)

 The combination or usage of the following techniques: complex operations event processing, statistical pattern discovery and recognition, unstructured text indexing, search and inference, topological analysis, and multidimensional database search and analysis.

Dynatrace



AppDynamics



New Relic



Monitoring Capabilities of Cloud Providers

23

AWS- Amazon Cloud Watch and now X-Ray

- Chargeback/Cost Metrics, Status and Availability Monitoring
- Log Monitoring, Transaction Tracing, Service Mapping
- For AWS only

🎁 Services		Resource Gr	oups ~	*													\Diamond	Consol	e User 👻	Oregon 👻	Supp	ort -
AWS X-Ray Getting Started	•	Q Enter Ser	vice Name,	Annotation, Trace ID o	click th	e Help icon foi	r addition	al details													Ø	C
Service map		Traces > 1-	58214aa	aa-26811b4a168	97a93	8c977b5e																
Traces		Timeline	Baw																			
		Name			Res.	Duration	Status	0.0ms	100ms	200ms	300ms	400ms	500ms	600ms	700ms I	800ms	900ms	1.0s	1.1s	1.2s		
		▼ myfront-dev	us-west-	2.elasticbeanstalk.com	n																	
		myfront-dev.	us-west-2.	elasticbeanstalk.com	200	1.2 sec		5												GET /insta	11	
		myapi-dev	v.us-west-2	elasticbeanstalk.com	200	1.1 sec		L											POS	T /install/?		
		▼ myapi-dev.u	s-west-2.	elasticbeanstalk.com																		
		myapi-dev.us	s-west-2.e	asticbeanstalk.com	200	1.1 sec		5											POS	T /install/?		
		DynamoD	в		200	208 ms	~					Get	Item: cust	tomers								
		catalog.m	yapi.us-we	st-2.elasticbeanstalk.co	or 200	842 ms													POST /	foo		
		 catalog.mya 	pi.us-wes	t-2.elasticbeanstalk.c	om																	
		catalog.mya	pi.us-west-	2.elasticbeanstalk.com	200	842 ms				511									POST /	foo		
		Auth			-	297 ms																
		Cache	moDB		-	261 ms				1111111111	Constantine and				a trên a trên s	an a ser a ser a	a and an an an an an an an	waa adaa dagaa	lan sana ang sana sana sa	land a start a start a start	and a second as the	and the second
		Fetch Pro	ducts		200	251 ms	Remot	te fault caus	ed by Aw	s::Dynam	IODB::Erro	ors::Provi	isionedTh	nroughpu	tExceede	dExceptio	n 		ala alam 1 Imrele	teTeble ADL //	liels fas dat	
		Fetch F	Ret - 0		-	194 ms	The lev	ei or conligu	rea provisi	oned thro	ugriput ior	the table	was exce	6060. Cor	ISIGOF INCR	sasing you	rprovision	ing level wi			lick for det	ans)
		Dyna	amoDB		400	194 ms	0							1		Q	uery: prod	lucts.um11-	-admi.table	01, products.ur	n11-a	
		Fetch F	Ret - 1		-	233 ms											-					
		Dyna	amoDB		200	233 ms													Query:	products		
		DynamoDB	(Client Re	sponse)																		
		myapi-dev.u	s-west-2.e	asticbeanstalk.com	-	208 ms						Get	Item: cust	tomers								
		catalog.mya	pi.us-west-	2.elasticbeanstalk.com	-	281 ms									Getitem: ci	ustomers						
		catalog.mya	pi.us-west-	2.elasticbeanstalk.com	-	194 ms	0							8		//// Q	uery: prod	lucts				
		catalog.mva	pi.us-west-	2.elasticbeanstalk.com	-	233 ms								1					Query:	products		
																			a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a			

Monitoring Capabilities of Cloud Providers

Azure - Azure Monitor

For Azure only

- Activity and Diagnostic Logs, Alerts and Automated actions
- Metrics Resources, Application Performance, Storage, Service Bus etc
- ContosoLoanAppDash1 ~ Z Exit fullscreen Costs by resource Average Response Time past hour Overview timeline Analytics Analytics 0 10 111 MICROSOFT AZURE INTERNA LOANAPPZ LOANAPP2 LOANAPP2 LOANAPP2 OUTGOINGQ 20 Help + LOANAPP2 support INCOMINGO. 25 SERVER RESPONSE TI... ٢ 99 189.87 ms Resource LOANDATABAS health Alert rules PAGE VIEW LOAD TIME 869.09 m LOANAPP2 IOANDATARASEVM 40 SERVER REQUESTS 33.01 USD 8 2.49 ĸ 2:45 PM 3.05 3:15 PM 3-30 PM Sep 15 Sep 17 Sep 19 Sep 21 Recent Errors (24hrs) FAILED REQUESTS REMOTEAIRCONDITIONING AVERAGE RESPONSE TIME ACTIVITY LOG No data for 'Failed request 0 9.07 USD 295.02 ms 18 Sep 22 6 AM Service health CPU percentage guest OS today All runs Application map Failed Requests, Incoming Messages and one mor.. MY RESOURCES IOANDATARASEVM2 IOANAPP2 LAST 7 DAYS STATUS START TIME DURATI 0 error 963.36 ms 150 Succ... 9/22/2016, 12:27 AM 1.72 Se Succ... 9/22/2016, 12:27 AM 1.56 Se 👂 Availability 🏼 🛛 1 Server side oandb2.so... 100 Sen 22 6 AM 12 DM 9/22/2016, 12:27 AM 3.47 Sec Succ. - 0.0% 100% 0% 0 Ø 2.2 s 120.56 ms 2.4 ms Requests and errors 9/22/2016, 12:27 AM 1.74 Se Succ. LOANAPP2 🗟 loandb2.so... 😗 9/22/2016, 12:26 AM 3.46 Se 0% 22.52 ms 🕑 4.31 Se Succ. 9/22/2016, 12:26 AM 6 PM Sep 22 6 AM 12 PN 3.88 Se Succ... 9/22/2016, 12:26 AM FAILED REQUESTS INCOMING MESSAGES 73 0 Succ... 9/21/2016, 10:47 PM 4.91 Se 3:30 PM 3:15 PM

Monitoring Capabilities of Cloud Providers

Google - StackDriver

- Logging, event monitoring, diagnostics,
- Visibility into performance, uptime, and overall health of cloud applications
- For Google Cloud and AWS

Services / Load Balancers / 🗢 gateway-1	Q C O TIME 1h 6h 1d 1w 1m 6w custom Policies
OPEN (0) ACKNOWLEDGED (0) RESOLVED (9)	KEY METRICS BY AVAILABILITY ZONE BACKING HOST METRICS
No open incidents	Wed 02 Thu 03 Fri 04 Sat 05 Mar 06 Mon 07 Tue 08
Uptime Checks o Create Check X	
/healthcheck HTTPS	WHWWWWWWWWWW
Event Log o Filter Add Event	- 204
Feb 20, 2016	
8:31 PM Incident on gateway-1 resolved Details: The healthcheck for gateway-1 has returned to a normal state	- Ons
8:30 PM 🚠 Instance went In Service behind gateway-1 gateway-122 In Service	Uptime Check Latency
7:35 PM Incident on gateway-1 started Details: The healthcheck for gateway-1 has failed: [u'Instance 'gateway-122' is 'OutOfService'']	
7:35 PM 🚠 Instance went Out of Service behind gateway-1 gateway-122 Out Of Service	Å
Feb 16, 2016	and and a state of the state of
10:30 AM Incident on gateway-1 resolved Details: The healthcheck for gateway-1 has returned to a normal state	

²⁶ Evaluating APM Solutions

Real End User Monitoring Solution

- Must Have
 - Real-time capture and reporting on User Activity, Response Times and Errors
 - **Page Rendering** and object level details
 - Business Transaction and SLA monitoring
 - Business Analytics and User Session Insights
 - Omni-channel visibility across Browsers and Mobile platforms
 - Geographic perspective
 - User defined transaction profiling for critical business applications
 - Integration with Runtime application performance
 - 'User-Complaint' to 'Code-Level' root cause for faster triage of Production issues

□ <u>Good to Have</u>

User defined transaction profiling for non critical applications

Synthetic Monitoring Solution for Active Availability coverage

Must Have

- Page rendering and object level details for Root Cause analysis for critical business applications
- Last Mile response time from across the geography
- Multi Browser support for playback

Good to Have

- Third part content analytics for critical business applications
- Competitive Benchmarking
- Integration with UEM, Runtime Application Performance

Runtime Application Performance Monitoring Solution

- Must Have
 - Process health, Container resource, event monitoring (Heap, Thread, Connection pools, Servlets, EJBs)
 - Auto discovery of transaction topology for service dependency mapping
 - Transaction tracking/stitching for response time tier breakdown
 - Code level 'deep-dive'
 - DB Performance (**SQL Captures**, Pool usage, Deadlocks, Hotspots)
 - WebService, Messaging and Remote calls Performance
 - Support for Java/J2EE, .Net, Microservices and other runtimes
 - Monitoring of on premises and cloud hosted applications seamless integration, presentation
 - Real time high granularity collection and reporting
 - No impact to application performance with minimal resource overhead
- Good to Have
 - Transaction/Method level resource cost evaluation for code optimization
 - Runtime Thread Dump and Heap Dump capabilities
 - Application Events- Errors, Exceptions, logs and Stack Trace
 - Integration with DevOps, support development/test lifecycle for agility
 - Integration with Load Generation tools (HP Performance Center) for monitoring of Performance tests
 - Integration with IDEs and CI/CD solutions for code optimization

Other Considerations

- Data analysis, presentation and reporting capabilities
- Integration with MoM solutions
- Ease of deployment and Use
- Architecture of solution (Aggregation vs Gapless design), Data model, Agent design (application resource reliant vs independent)
- Converged platforms providing one consolidated view Single pane of glass
- One unified solution for multi purpose APM
 - On-premises and Cloud (IaaS/PaaS/SaaS) applications
 - Monitoring capability for Containers and Microservices
- APM-aaS availability
- Vendor Evaluation
 - Roadmap and technology/trend adoption towards Digital Performance Management
 - Licensing Model
 - Current Market Share
 - Customer Service and Product Support
 - Gartner's Evaluation
- Cost of Deployment, Maintainability and Scalability



Synthetic Monitoring

32



Synthetic Monitoring - Reports

Portal

Transaction	Availability (%)	Response Time (sec)					
	Average	95-percentile					
Portal Home Page	<mark>99.92</mark>	4.25	9.45				

										A۱	/ailability									
BT Name	(%)	8/1	8/2	8/3	8/4	8/5	8/6	8/7	8/8	8/9	8/10	8/11	8/12	8/13	8/14	8/15	8/16	8/17	8/18	8/19
Portal Prod 01 OpenLIRI	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.0	100.0	100.00	100.0	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Pontal_Prod_01_openone	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.0	100.0	100.00	100.0	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Portal_Prod_02_Continue	<u>99.88</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	100.0	100.0	100.00	100.0	<u>100.00</u>	98.33	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	100.00	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>
Portal_Prod_03_Login1	<u>99.94</u>	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	100.0	100.0	100.00	100.0	100.00	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	100.00	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>
Portal_Prod_04_Login2	<u>99.82</u>	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	100.0	100.0	98.36	100.0	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>
Portal_Prod_05_Logoff	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.0	100.0	100.00	100.0	100.00	100.00	<u>100.00</u>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Real User Monitoring (JavaScript Injection)



RUM Reports

35

 Capability to track 'critical' transactions, visibility into top customer locations, branches and associated user experiences



RUM Reports



Agent Based Monitoring





LegacyBPM

Transaction Flow Database 67.58mg 3.42% BPM_MWS Database 14573.32 per -190.5mg 9.58% Domainless BPM_MWS Int come ~de4476] 0.00 Focus on Passing Transactions Show Transaction Details (PurePaths) Entry Point **Response Time Hotspots Show Database Calls** 8.92 per m Process: BPM_MWS_LegacyBPM Show Application Process Host: 142.92 pe CPU NEW NET DISK NebServer 2 **Failure Rate** 0 Show Errors 0 Web Request 107.33ms 5.4% **Execution Time per Transaction:** 190.5mm Transaction Response Time Contribution: 9.58% Show Errors Failed Transactions: 0 (0%) * 23.79ms 1.2% Desktop Browser SQL Server 2 290.41mg 1.97% 146.11ms 7.35% AppServer 41.95m 2.11% Messaging

39

Garbage Collection health, Suspension time, Process throughput, Thread Count



40



- Giving the Developers capability to drill in all the way to the source of the problem
 - ♦ Deep dive into the code, identifying root cause at the method level
 - > Long running methods contributing to latency; Also identifying resource intensive methods

🖹 User Actions 🥹 Errors 🕫 PurePaths 😔 BPM_Int	tegrationServer_CBR 🝸 📢 PurePaths 🝸 🕬 User Actio	n PurePaths 🍸	ର୍କ୍ଷ PurePaths 🝸 🕅				69	· =
PurePath	Response Time [ms] Breakdown	Size Agent	Appl	ication	Start Time 🔶	Pu	rePath Hotspo	ts
/Web/Loan/Advance	3541.25 cou io (79.0%)	32 Loan	_WebServer	2016-	06-07 13:08:07.482 🗉			
- AWeb/Loan/Fee		7- Loan -		2016-	06-07 13:08:07.497	1 ²		
/Web/Loan/CreateNewLoan	1747.22 cpu sync io (49.0%)	190 Loan	_WebServer	2016-	06-07 13:08:01.897	<u></u>		
Web/Loan/CashFlow		- 7 -Loan	WebServer	2016-	06-07 13:08:07.497	ž.		
/Web/Loan/LoanInputSheet	1138.81 cpu (41.0%) lo (57.0%)	73 Loan	_WebServer	2016-	06-07 13:08:03.644	5		
/Web/Loan/Comments	1060.81 io (97.0%)	7 Loan	WebServer	2016-	06-07 13:08:07.497 -		Exec [ms]	
PurePaths Contributors Errors						h 🙆 📭 🎖 🛞	00	
PurePath Tree (showing only relevant noc	les)							③ Show all node
Method			Argument	Exec Total [ms]	Breakdown	Class	API	Agent ^
	🙆 run()			0.03	cpu (91.0%)	DCProcessor	Softwareag	BPM_MED_CBR@
	🔺 🙆 submit(Runnable)			0.07	cpu (98.0%)	AbstractExecutorS	Threading	BPM_MED_CBR@
	🔺 🔁 Asynchronous In	vocation		-				BPM_MED_CBR@
	A Synchronous	Path (Thread						BPM_MED_CBR@
	🕲 run()			0.61	cpu (95.0%)	DCProcessor	Softwareag	BPM_MED_CBR@
A 10	Synchronous Path (HTTP)			-				BPM_IntegrationS
<u>م</u>	process(ProtocolState state)		ws/BPMCBRLoan	2519.22	io (99.0%)	HTTPRootWebSer	Servlet	BPM_IntegrationS
	process(ProtocolState state)			2519.07	io (99.0%)	HTTPTransportLis	Servlet	BPM_IntegrationS
	doService(HTTPRequest, HTTPResponse, HTTPSt	ate, Message		2517.49	io (99.0%)	HTTPTransportList	Wm	BPM_IntegrationS
	Service(AxisHttpRequest, AxisHttpResponse, 1	MessageCont		2517.49	io (99.0%)	HTTPWorker	Java Web	BPM_IntegrationS
	 a @ receive(MessageContext msgContext) 			2517.49	io (99.0%)	AxisEngine	Java Web	BPM_IntegrationS
	▲ ③ receive(MessageContext)			2499.17	io (100.0%)	ISDynamicMessag	Wm	BPM_IntegrationS
	▲			2499.17	io (100.0%)	AbstractMessageR	Java Web	BPM_IntegrationS
	invokeBusinessLogic(MessageCo	intext)		2499.17	io (100.0%)	ISDynamicMessag	Wm	BPM_IntegrationS
	A G invokeBusinessLogic(Message	Context)		2499.17	io (100.0%)	AbstractInOutMes	Java Web	BPM_IntegrationS
	🔺 🕲 invokeBusinessLogic(Mess	ageContext, N		2499.17	io (100.0%)	ISDynamicMessag	Wm	BPM_IntegrationS
	 a (B) baseInvoke(IData pipe) 			2499.17	io (100.0%)	FlowSvcImpl	Java Web	BPM_IntegrationS
. – –							-	BPM_IntegrationS
	3 1 × Connection A	Acquisition @		8.59		- I	Connectio	BPM_IntegrationS
		e({key], CBRL-				/	JDBC	BPM_IntegrationS
	1 × select user			1.17		¥	JDBC	BPM_IntegrationS
	🖾 1 × Create Staten	nent @ usnj01		0.05		-	JDBC	BPM_IntegrationS
	PlowException: [ISC.	.0049.9028] In	[ISC.0049.9028] In	-		FlowException	Exception	BPM_IntegrationS
	FlowException: [ISC.	.0049.9028] In	[ISC.0049.9028] In			FlowException	Exception	BPM_IntegrationS
	Image: Send(MessageContext msgContext)			1.39	cpu (99.0%)	AxisEngine	Java Web	BPM_IntegrationS
🕙 Ођ	ectDisposedException: Cannot access a disposed object. Ob	bject name: 'S	Cannot access a d	-		ObjectDisposedEx	Exception	Loan _AppServ
⇒ SleepInternal	l(int)			4889.66	sync (92.0%)	Thread	Btmu	Loan AppServ
▲	0			152.80	io (92.0%)	ClientBase`1	.NET WCF	Loan AppServ
▲	mbersMapping(String, String, XmlReflectionMember[], boole	tan, boolean,		152.80	io (92.0%)	XmlReflectionImpo	XML Proce	Loan AppServ
▲	tomAttributes(RuntimeType, RuntimeType, boolean)			152.80	io (92.0%)	CustomAttribute	Reflection	Loan AppServ
A	1)//:/b/=EDA-JT/DAT	Ad-Al- JI-E- 1		152.00	1- /03.08/1	Duration Mathematic	Deflection	1 A

And that's a wrap!

We talked about

- Cloud
 - Cloud deployment models
 - Service offerings
- Cloud Challenges
- APM Solutions
- Considerations for APM solutions
- Irrespective of
 - What cloud solution you adopt
 - Which applications you migrate to it
 - What monitoring methodologies you choose
 - What APM solutions you deploy

....as an IT organization, you have to move from traditional monitoring/management paradigms to business service and end user focused, holistic solutions to survive this new age of Cloud



Questions?



References

44

Gartner's Magic Quadrant for Application Performance Monitoring Suites

Things to Know About APM in the Cloud

Managing Performance of Cloud Based Applications

APM for Cloud Computing

Anatomy of APM

Cloud Predictions

Obscurity of Cloud

Duality of APM

Azure Monitor

AWS X-Ray

Google Stackdriver

Dynatrace

AppDynamics

New Relic



RUM Reports (JavaScript Injection)

46

Reporting on Average Application throughput during typical and peak times

Average response times for users with anomalies

Response Time Breakdown between Server, Network and Rendering time





RUM Reports (JavaScript Injection)

48

- Understanding User Experience across the globe
- Application Workload Trending for forecasting growth



RUM Reports (Agent Based)

Application Process: IIS 7.5 3,006 ① Total Requests: Type: Microsoft II! Host: Host Health Running Windows Server 2008 11.22 i **Agent Details** State: OS: Total Traffic: Uptime: 1d 8h 6min Threads Transfer Rate - busy - idle kB/req 8 10 6 4 5 2 0 0 16:00 16:25 16:00 16:05 16:10 16:15 16:20 16:25 16:05 16:10 16:15 16:20 CPU Usage Requests requests/sec — MB/sec Host 1 MB/s 100 % 4 80 % 0.75 MB/s 3 60 % 0.5 MB/s 2 40 %

0.25 MB/s

0 MB/s

16:25

20 %

0 %

16:00

16:05

16:10

16:15

16:20

16:25

0

16:00

16:05

16:10

16:15

16:20

SLA/SLM and Reporting

- Monitoring/Reporting Service levels for overall application as well as at 'component level'
- Combining 'Active' and 'Passive' monitoring for complete visibility
- Proactive alerting
- Trending Transaction Volumes and End User Experience
- Understanding 'cost per transaction'
 - Correlating business throughput to system resource for 'right-sizing'

Optimization for Cloud Applications

- Content Caching
- Compression algorithms data is expensive in the cloud
- Optimized page rendering
 - Parallel rendering of content
- WAN Quality of Service
- Chatty Applications
 - Reducing acknowledgements to reduce WAN Latency

Dynatrace – Instance Cost

52

Amazon EC2 Instance Costs - Dev Account

Daily Instance Costs - from last 7 days Cost - from this month Shows how much cost in Dollar is triggered by the active Amazon EC2 instances. Note: this does not include Shows how much cost in US Dollar is triggered since the start of this month by the active Amazon EC2 in traffic and storage costs. Note: this does not include traffic and storage costs. 180 5.0 121.98 77.52 61.28 17.48 7.36 7.36 CostT1Micro CostM24XLar CostM1Small CostM1Large CostCG14XLa CostCC14XLa Cost (Amazon ge (Amazon (Amazon (Amazon rge (Amazon rge (Amazon m (Ar Account) Account) Account) Account) Account) Account) Acc 3.0 Cost - from last month Shows how much cost in US Dollar was triggered last month by the active Amazon EC2 instances. Note does not include traffic and storage costs. 2.0 647.59 1.0 0.0 19.00 38.46 34.0 0.15 0.14 Fri, 18-Nov Sat, 19-Nov Sun, 20-Nov Mon, 21-Nov Tue, 22-Nov Wed, 23-Nov Thu, 24-Nov Amazon Account CostM2XLarge CostM1Large CostCG14XLarg CostT1Micro CostM1Small CostC1M (Amazon (Amazon (Amazon (Amazon e (Amazon (Amaz 🔔 CostT1Micro 🛕 CostM1Small 🛕 CostC1Medium Account) Account) Accou Account) Account) Account)



-	
K	

Dynatrace – Problem Evolution



Dynatrace – Topology Visualization





Dynatrace – Overview Dashboards



AppDynamics – Topology Visualization



AppDynamics – User Experience



New Relic – Infrastructure Overview



New Relic – Instance Cost Monitoring

